

2D transition metal dichalcogenides

Sajedeh Manzeli^{1,2}, Dmitry Ovchinnikov^{1,2}, Diego Pasquier³, Oleg V. Yazyev³
and Andras Kis^{1,2}

Abstract | Graphene is very popular because of its many fascinating properties, but its lack of an electronic bandgap has stimulated the search for 2D materials with semiconducting character. Transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs), which are semiconductors of the type MX_2 , where M is a transition metal atom (such as Mo or W) and X is a chalcogen atom (such as S, Se or Te), provide a promising alternative. Because of its robustness, MoS_2 is the most studied material in this family. TMDCs exhibit a unique combination of atomic-scale thickness, direct bandgap, strong spin–orbit coupling and favourable electronic and mechanical properties, which make them interesting for fundamental studies and for applications in high-end electronics, spintronics, optoelectronics, energy harvesting, flexible electronics, DNA sequencing and personalized medicine. In this Review, the methods used to synthesize TMDCs are examined and their properties are discussed, with particular attention to their charge density wave, superconductive and topological phases. The use of TMDCs in nanoelectronic devices is also explored, along with strategies to improve charge carrier mobility, high frequency operation and the use of strain engineering to tailor their properties.

Two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs) are an emerging class of materials with properties that make them highly attractive for fundamental studies of novel physical phenomena and for applications ranging from nanoelectronics and nanophotonics to sensing and actuation at the nanoscale. The past years have seen a strong resurgence of interest in 2D TMDCs, sparked by the demonstration of the first transistor¹ and by the discovery of strong photoluminescence in MoS_2 monolayers^{2,3}.

TMDCs have a very long and fruitful history. Their structure was first determined by Linus Pauling in 1923 (REF. 4). By the late 1960s, around 60 TMDCs were known, at least 40 of them with a layered structure⁵. The first reports on the use of adhesive tapes for producing ultrathin MoS_2 layers, by Robert Frindt, date back to 1963 (REF. 6), and the production of monolayer MoS_2 suspensions was first achieved in 1986 (REF. 7). In parallel with the development of research on carbon nanotubes and fullerenes in the 1990s, Reshef Tenne and others led strong efforts in the area of inorganic fullerenes and nanotubes, which commenced with the discovery of WS_2 nanotubes and nested particles⁸, followed by the synthesis of MoS_2 nanotubes and nanoparticles⁹. The rapid growth of graphene-related research that started in 2004 stimulated the development of techniques well-suited for working with layered materials, opening the way to new studies of TMDCs and, in particular, of their ultrathin films.

Several recent reviews cover the optical properties of TMDCs and their heterostructures, as well as their use in various photonics and optoelectronics applications^{10–12}. In this Review, the focus is mainly on recent results related to the electronic properties of TMDCs. We start by introducing the structural phases and electronic band structure of TMDCs, examining in particular their charge density wave, superconducting and topological phases. We then examine the methods that can be used to synthesize these materials. The use of TMDCs in devices and the strategies that can be implemented to enhance mobility are discussed next, along with their high-frequency performance and the use of strain engineering to tune the materials properties.

Structure

TMDCs exist in several structural phases resulting from different coordination spheres of the transition metal atoms. The two common structural phases are characterized by either trigonal prismatic (2H) or octahedral (1T) coordination of metal atoms (FIG. 1a).

These structural phases can also be viewed in terms of different stacking orders of the three atomic planes (chalcogen–metal–chalcogen) forming the individual layers of these materials. The 2H phases correspond to an ABA stacking in which chalcogen atoms in different atomic planes occupy the same position A and are located on top of each other in the direction perpendicular to the layer. By contrast, the 1T phases are characterized by an ABC

¹Electrical Engineering Institute, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland.

²Institute of Materials Science and Engineering, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland.

³Institute of Physics, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland.

Correspondence to A.K. andras.kis@epfl.ch

doi:10.1038/natrevmats.2017.33
Published online 13 Jun 2017

decreasing thickness, and the indirect bandgap semiconductor bulk material turns into a direct bandgap semiconductor monolayer^{2,3}. The calculated values for the bandgap of bulk and monolayer 2H-MoS₂ are 0.88 and 1.71 eV, respectively¹³. The experimental value for the bandgap of monolayer 2H-MoS₂ is 2.16 eV (REF. 13). Importantly, the valence band maximum and the conduction band minimum are located at the two inequivalent high-symmetry points K and K', which correspond to the corners of the hexagonal Brillouin zone. This property is common to monolayer 2H-MoS₂ (and other group VI monolayer 2H-TMDCs) and to graphene, and enables the observation of valley-dependent physical phenomena and potential valleytronics applications.

Another important peculiarity of monolayer 2H-TMDCs is that they lack inversion symmetry, which results in a spin splitting of the electronic bands driven by the spin-orbit interaction. Because points K and K' do not correspond to the time-reversal invariant momenta, the spin degeneracy of the conduction and valence band extrema at these points is lifted. This effect is particularly strong in the valence band, in which spin splitting values range from 0.15 eV in monolayer 2H-MoS₂ to 0.46 eV in 2H-WSe₂ (REF. 14). This trend is understood by considering that the spin-orbit interaction is a relativistic effect, and hence is stronger for heavier elements. Even though the spin splitting of the conduction band is about an order of magnitude weaker¹⁵, it is not negligible. Because of time-reversal symmetry, the spin splitting of bands at K and K' is opposite; the resulting band structure of monolayer 2H-MoS₂ relevant to realistic charge-carrier concentrations is schematically represented in FIG. 1d. This property is referred to as spin-valley coupling¹⁶ and implies that the valley polarization of charge carriers is automatically translated into their spin polarization. This intrinsic property of TMDCs may be used to design spintronic devices that do not involve magnetic materials^{17,18}.

Charge density wave phases. At low temperature, a 1D metal with non-interacting electrons is unstable against a small periodic distortion of the underlying lattice, which is accompanied by the opening of a bandgap at the Fermi energy, resulting in insulating behaviour. The period of the distortion, which arises from the interaction between electrons and phonons, is given by $Q = 2k_F$, where k_F is the Fermi momentum^{19,20}. Such a distortion leads to a modulation of the electronic charge density, the so-called charge density wave (CDW). Chan and Heine²¹ demonstrated that a modulation of the charge density without a coupling to phonons cannot exist, therefore establishing the equivalence between CDWs and the concept of periodic lattice distortions. A 2D or 3D metal with a nested Fermi surface (that is, a Fermi surface with large parallel sections separated by a nesting vector Q_N) has a hidden 1D character and can also undergo a CDW distortion with periodicity Q_N at low temperature, owing to a logarithmic singularity in the electronic response function (or bare susceptibility)^{19,21,22}.

The discovery of CDW phases in the group V layered dichalcogenides, in both the 2H and 1T phases of

TaS₂ and TaSe₂, and in 2H-NbSe₂, led to renewed interest in this theory^{23,24}. The phenomenology of the CDW in dichalcogenides is rich and diverse and strongly depends on the chemical composition and crystalline phase of the material. For example, 2H-NbSe₂ undergoes a triple-Q transition at $T_{CDW} = 33$ K. This CDW is nearly commensurate (that is, the CDW wavelength is nearly an integer multiple of the lattice constant) and results in the formation of a superlattice with roughly 3×3 periodicity; the wave vectors are $Q_i = b_i/3(1 - \delta)$, where $\delta \ll 1$ represents the decommensuration, and b_i are the three reciprocal vectors of a triangular lattice²⁵. Unlike in other CDW materials, the periodicity is almost independent of temperature and does not show any first-order transition to a commensurate phase, sometimes referred to as lock-in transition. The displacement of the atoms is very small (of the order of 0.05 Å) and the effect of the CDW on the physical properties is moderate, with only a small increase of the resistivity²⁶. If the temperature is further lowered, the material becomes superconducting²⁷. By contrast, 1T-TaS₂ exhibits a sequence of incommensurate ($T \approx 600$ K), nearly commensurate ($T \approx 350$ K) and commensurate ($T \approx 180$ K, with a $\sqrt{13} \times \sqrt{13}$ periodicity) transitions with a significant displacement of Ta atoms (up to 0.24 Å) that strongly effects the electronic properties (FIG. 2a). The transition from the normal state to the incommensurate phase is second order and is accompanied by a small jump in the resistivity. By contrast, the nearly commensurate and commensurate transitions are strongly first order and are associated with a large increase in resistivity^{26,23}. Furthermore, the commensurate phase exhibits an interesting Mott insulating character arising from the narrowing of the conduction band induced by the distortion^{28,29}.

Following these results on bulk TMDCs, CDW phases in monolayer TMDCs were investigated, with particular attention to the CDW ordering vector and transition temperature³⁰. First-principles calculations suggested that 2H-NbSe₂ in its monolayer form has a CDW phase with a different periodicity compared to that of the bulk (4×1 , as opposed to $3 \times 3 \times 1$) and a larger gain of electronic energy, hence a higher transition temperature³¹. Experimentally, a considerable enhancement of the transition temperature from $T_{CDW} = 33$ K in the bulk to $T_{CDW} = 145$ K in the monolayer was reported³². However, other authors have not found such an enhancement and reported a 3×3 periodicity for the monolayer³³. By contrast, for the isostructural 2H-TaSe₂, first-principles calculations suggested the same periodicity for the bulk and the monolayer (3×3)³⁴. Several studies addressed the CDW phase in thin films of 1T-TaS₂ with thicknesses down to one monolayer^{35–37}, but the evolution of the commensurate and nearly commensurate phases upon reducing the dimensionality is still under debate. Experimental studies of 1T-TiSe₂ with reduced thickness revealed a CDW with the same ordering vector as in the bulk (resulting in a 2×2 superlattice), with a slight enhancement of the critical temperature^{38,39}. The absence of a hole pocket in the Fermi surface at the Γ point indicates that the pristine material is a small bandgap semiconductor rather than a semimetal with a small




Figure 2 | Correlated and topological phases of TMDCs. **a** | Temperature–pressure phase diagram of 1T-TaS₂ showing various charge density wave (CDW) phases. The deformation patterns corresponding to the different phases are shown. **b** | Phase diagram of superconductivity in electrostatically and chemically doped 2H-MoS₂. **c** | Band structure of monolayer 1T'-MoS₂ showing the band inversion characteristic of the quantum spin Hall phase. The inset shows the dispersion of the topological edge states. 2δ , inverted gap energy; CCDW, commensurate CDW; E_{gap} , fundamental gap energy; n_{2D} , electron carrier density; NCCDW, nearly commensurate CDW; T_c , superconductive transition temperature. Panel **a** is adapted with permission from REF. 29, Macmillan Publishers Limited. Panel **b** is adapted with permission from REF. 56, AAAS. Panel **c** is adapted with permission from REF. 62, AAAS.

band overlap (this question has long been debated for bulk samples, with several experiments supporting either view). The CDW in 1T-TiSe₂ is therefore a semiconductor-to-semiconductor transition, with a slight increase of the bandgap induced by the distortion. The electronic structure of 1T-TiSe₂ is strongly reminiscent of the excitonic insulator proposed by Kohn, Jérôme and Rice^{40,41}, but never unambiguously observed in real systems. The question of whether the CDW in TiSe₂ should be regarded as a manifestation of an exciton condensate, or simply as driven by electron–phonon interactions, is still a matter of debate^{38,39}.

Although the theory underlying CDW driven by Fermi surface nesting is well established, the question of whether this theory actually applies to TMDCs (or any real system) is debated. The difficulty of identifying a nesting vector by inspecting the Fermi surface and the strong modifications of the electronic structure induced by the distortions have led some to argue that the Fermi

surface nesting hardly has a role in TMDCs, and that the distortions are mainly due to strongly anisotropic electron–phonon matrix elements with enhanced values at the CDW wave vector^{30–34}.

Superconductivity. Interestingly, all bulk TMDCs displaying a CDW state in their phase diagram also exhibit superconductivity, with the exception of 2H-NbS₂, which is only superconducting⁴². The two phases can either compete or coexist. However, superconductivity is much more robust than the CDW phase, as it does not depend on the nesting of the Fermi surface. In TMDCs, the superconducting state can be either intrinsic or induced by chemical doping, electrostatic doping or applied pressure. In the 2H phase of NbSe₂, TaS₂ and TaSe₂, superconductivity coexists with the CDW phase at low temperatures^{27,43–45}. In TMDCs with the 1T phase, such as semimetallic 1T-TiSe₂ (REFS 46,47) and 1T-TaS₂ (REFS 29,48), the superconducting state emerges as the CDW order melts, either under applied

pressure or upon chemical doping by means of copper intercalation. A competition between a low-temperature distorted phase and a superconducting phase was also observed in the newly found material IrTe₂. The exact nature of the distorted phase has not been clearly identified yet; its suppression upon chemical doping leads to superconductivity with a critical temperature of up to 3 K (REF. 49).

The superconducting behaviour of bulk TMDCs persists in the 2D limit. Recently, the first experimental evidence for truly 2D intrinsic superconductivity in TMDCs was reported for monolayer 2H-NbSe₂ (REF. 33). The 2D superconducting state is of the Kosterlitz–Thouless type⁵⁰, as evidenced by the voltage–current characteristic measured close to the critical temperature^{51,52}. Although the critical temperature ($T_c = 3\text{K}$) is even lower than that of the bulk material ($T_c = 7\text{K}$), the in-plane critical field B_{c2} (the minimum magnetic field required to destroy the superconducting state) is an order of magnitude larger than that of the bulk and, more importantly, about seven times larger than the paramagnetic Pauli limit⁵¹. The enhancement of the in-plane critical field is not a new phenomenon in itself, but the underlying mechanism is unusual and is understood as arising from the combination of reduced dimensionality and strong spin–orbit coupling⁵³. In a monolayer 2H phase TMDC, the spin splitting of the K and K' valleys can be seen as an effective out-of-plane Zeeman field. Because of the spin–valley coupling, such spin splitting is compatible with Cooper pairing, and therefore the superconducting state in monolayer TMDCs is characterized by an Ising-like polarization of spins in the out-of-plane direction. This makes the superconducting state more robust against in-plane magnetic fields. In addition to the theoretical interest, it was pointed out that Ising superconductors could be useful for observing topological superconductivity and as an alternative route to engineer Majorana fermions in solid-state systems^{53–55}.

Superconductivity can also be induced in gated thin films of 2H-MoS₂ (FIG. 2b), in which electrostatic charge doping accumulates charge carriers in the outer layer, simulating a monolayer doped sample^{52,56,57}. Superconductivity induced by gating has also been realized in thin films of 2H-WS₂ and 2H-MoSe₂ (REFS 58,59).

Topological phases. TMDCs have also attracted considerable interest as materials that could host novel topological electronic phases^{60,61}. According to theoretical predictions, monolayer group VI TMDCs in their 1T' phase exhibit a band structure with inverted chalcogen p and transition metal d bands⁶² (FIG. 2c). In addition, the presence of a relatively strong spin–orbit interaction results in the opening of bandgaps of a few tens of millielectronvolts in 1T' sulfides and selenides of molybdenum and tungsten. This gives rise to the quantum spin Hall phase, or, equivalently, to the 2D Z_2 topologically insulating phase, characterized by the presence of helical edge states immune to backscattering. These theoretical predictions are still awaiting experimental confirmation, but TMDC-based quantum spin Hall insulators would enable technological applications of this topological

electronic phase. These 2D materials are certainly more suitable for practical applications than other quantum spin Hall insulators known to date: that is, HgTe/CdTe^{63,64} and InAs/GaSb⁶⁵ quantum wells and bilayer Bi^{49,66,67}. Bulk distorted 1T-phase tellurides of molybdenum and tungsten are semimetals, which gives rise to very large non-saturating magnetoresistance in WTe₂ (REFS 68,69). The non-centrosymmetric polymorphs of these materials were predicted to host a Weyl semimetal phase^{70–72}, which was, to some degree, experimentally confirmed^{73,74}.

Synthesis

MoS₂ and WS₂ are probably the only TMDCs that occur in nature in the form a layered crystal. The first high-performance transistors made of monolayers of MoS₂ were obtained from crystals of the naturally occurring mineral molybdenite¹. Bulk crystals of other TMDCs are grown using the chemical vapour transport method⁵, which enabled the first studies of the optical and electrical properties of MoS₂, MoSe₂ and WSe₂ crystals in the 1960s⁵. In this growth method, the precursors (Mo and Se in the case of MoSe₂)⁷⁵ are mixed in stoichiometric proportions with the addition of a transport agent (I₂, Br₂ or ICl₃) and put into an evacuated ampoule inside a multi-zone furnace with a varying temperature gradient. The material is transported to the colder part of the ampoule, where crystal growth takes place. A detailed review of crystal growth and characterization can be found in REF. 76.

High-quality natural or synthetic crystals can be used as a source of thin flakes. Similarly to graphene, which can be obtained by mechanical peel-off with sticky tape from graphite, single crystals of TMDCs can serve as a source of monolayers^{1,77,78}, which can then provide a platform for a range of fundamental studies and device demonstrations. Because micromechanical exfoliation requires only bulk crystals, a decent optical microscope and adhesive tape, it is a very popular method for rapid prototyping of devices based on TMDCs and other layered materials, and has greatly contributed to the rapid expansion of research in this area. However, this method is not scalable; moreover, the relatively small areas of uniform material and the haphazard nature of material deposition can be limiting factors for more extensive studies. Liquid-phase exfoliation based on organic solvents^{79,80} is a scalable alternative to mechanical exfoliation and allows the production of solutions containing flakes with controllable thickness. The first experiments on liquid-phase exfoliation through Li intercalation date back to the 1970s⁸¹, with a report on solutions containing single-layer MoS₂ from the 1980s⁷. Recent methods for the exfoliation of TMDCs in liquid using Li intercalation are being intensively explored^{82,83}. The drawbacks of exfoliation through Li intercalation include reported phase transitions from the semiconducting 2H to the metallic 1T phase^{82,84,85} and the limited size of the flakes, which results in overlaps between the flakes and in a large in-plane resistance of the films⁸².



One of the first scalable methods developed for the direct growth of TMDCs was molecular beam epitaxy (MBE). The principle of operation is schematically

illustrated in FIG. 3a through the example of the growth of GaSe on MoS₂. Detailed information on this growth method can be found in REF. 86. An ultrahigh vacuum chamber (with pressure typically below 10⁻¹⁰ mbar) contains several sources — in this case Ga and Se — to form molecular beams. Deposition is performed on a pre-heated substrate while the thickness and substrate crystallinity are monitored *in situ* by reflection high-energy electron diffraction and low-energy electron diffraction. The group of Atsushi Koma published numerous reports on the MBE growth of TMDCs in the 1980s and 1990s, demonstrating the successful growth of MoSe₂ and GaSe on a number of substrates, including other layered materials^{87–90}. MBE-based growth of 2D materials largely differs from traditional 3D epitaxy. Because TMDCs



do not contain dangling bonds, they can in principle be grown on other layered materials and passivated surfaces (for example H-passivated Si) without the need to satisfy lattice matching conditions. The weak van der Waals interaction can be exploited to achieve epitaxial growth, in which the lattice structure of the substrate can be used to align the orientation of the overlayer⁹¹ — an essential requirement for growing high-quality films. Epitaxial growth can occur either if the substrate and the overlayer are lattice-matched, such as for MoSe₂ on GaAs, or if a commensuration condition can be satisfied, such as for MoS₂ on sapphire⁹¹. If these conditions are not met, the resulting films will be polycrystalline and contain many dislocations, such as for MBE-grown MoSe₂ on an amorphous SiO₂ substrate⁹². Such defects and grain boundaries can result in variable-range hopping through localized states in MBE-grown MoSe₂ and MoTe₂ on sapphire substrates⁹³. MBE performed in ultrahigh vacuum growth chambers combined with scanning tunnelling microscopy or angle-resolved photoelectron spectroscopy provided access to the intrinsic properties of materials such as MoSe₂ (REFS 94,95) and NbSe₂ (REF. 33). Furthermore, various types of vertical heterostructures of 2D materials could be realized, for example, SnSe₂/WSe₂ (REF. 96), MoSe₂/Bi₂Se₃ (REF. 97) and MoSe₂/graphite⁹⁸. Thus, MBE is a useful tool for fundamental studies of 2D materials and their combinations, although the electrical properties of as-grown films require further improvement.

The most practical method for the synthesis of high-quality TMDCs is chemical vapour deposition (CVD, FIG. 3b). This method does not require ultrahigh vacuum chambers and is thus cheap and relatively easy to integrate into existing industrial processes. The first reports of MoS₂ synthesis were based on the sulfurization of Mo pre-deposited on the substrate⁹⁹, upon dip coating of substrates in a (NH₄)₂MoS₄ solution followed by annealing in gas flow^{100,101}, or upon the growth of multilayers from MoO₃ and S precursors¹⁰². However, in these early reports there was no control over the material thickness; only in 2013 the growth of monolayer domains of MoS₂ on SiO₂ was reported in two simultaneous publications^{103,104}. The working principle of CVD is shown in FIG. 3b; in the example shown, MoO₃ and S react at elevated temperature, leading to the growth of MoS₂ on the substrate. The as-grown domains on SiO₂ have good optical and electrical properties, displaying room temperature field-effect mobilities on the order of 5 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ (REFS 103,104), but have random lattice orientation with respect to each other and thus form grain boundaries of different types. These grain boundaries are predicted to have a plethora of electronic properties, for example, 60° grain boundaries could have metallic nature¹⁰⁵. The investigation of the electrical transport properties of large-area devices fabricated from similar materials showed that the presence of grain boundaries decreases the mobility of large area films¹⁰⁶. The need to control the orientation of domains motivated further developments; for example, atomically smooth c-plane sapphire was used to promote the epitaxial CVD growth of monolayer MoS₂ (REF. 91). In contrast to the growth on SiO₂ substrates, systematic alignment was observed,

a Molecular beam epitaxy



b Chemical vapour deposition



c Metal-organic chemical vapour deposition





Figure 3 | Growth methods for the synthesis of ultrathin TMDCs. **a** | In molecular beam epitaxy, high-purity elemental source materials are co-evaporated in ultrahigh vacuum. The right panel is an atomic force microscope image of monolayer GaSe grown on MoS₂. **b** | Chemical vapour deposition is based on the direct chemical reaction between different precursor materials. An optical image of triangular single-crystalline domains of MoS₂ grown on SiO₂ is shown on the right. **c** | Metal-organic chemical vapour deposition is based on the decomposition of metal-organic precursors and their subsequent chemical reaction. On the right, a false-colour dark-field transmission electron microscopy image shows a continuous monolayer of MoS₂ with different grain orientations. RHEED, reflection high-energy electron diffraction; TMDC, transition metal dichalcogenide. Panel **a** is adapted with permission from REF. 90, Elsevier. Panel **b** is adapted with permission from REF. 220, American Chemical Society. Panel **c** is adapted with permission from REF. 110, Macmillan Publishers Limited.

owing to the commensurate lattices of MoS₂ and sapphire. A two-probe field-effect mobility of $\sim 25 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ was preserved on a length scale of $80 \mu\text{m}$, through ~ 16 grain boundaries. Thus, the engineering of the alignment of separate flakes can lead to a better control of the electrical properties of large area films.

A growth method related to CVD is metal–organic CVD (MOCVD), which is based on the use of gas-phase precursors^{107–110}, and was first used for TMDC synthesis in the 1990s¹⁰⁷. Gas precursors are supplied to the main chamber with controlled flux (FIG. 3c). Recently, wafer-scale synthesis of MoS₂ and WS₂ on various substrates was demonstrated, and the resulting samples displayed good electrical properties. For example, the MoS₂ sample had an electron mobility of $\sim 30 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (REF. 110). The discussed CVD results mainly regard MoS₂ growth. In addition to MoS₂, other TMDCs, such as MoSe₂ (REFS 111–113), WS₂ (REFS 107, 110, 114–120), WSe₂ (REFS 109, 121–123), ReSe₂ (REFS 124–126), ReSe₂ (REF. 127), MoTe₂ (REFS 128, 129) or WTe₂ (REF. 129), can be grown both by CVD and MOCVD. However, the electrical properties of the large-area films of these materials are not yet well characterized and require further investigation.

Different types of TMDC heterostructures can also be grown using CVD. In particular, atomically sharp interfaces between monolayers of MoS₂ and MoSe₂ (REF. 130), WSe₂ and MoSe₂ (REF. 131), MoS₂ and WS₂ (REF. 132), MoSe₂ and WSe₂ (REF. 131), WS₂ and WSe₂ (REF. 130), and

WSe₂ and MoS₂ (REF. 133) have been realized by CVD. The benefits of this approach over manual assembly through transfer techniques are that the interfaces are atomically sharp, as shown in FIG. 4a,b, and that there are no residues from the transfer process. Theoretical modelling predicts exotic behaviours for such heterostructures¹³⁴; for example, efficient charge separation¹³⁴ and valley filtering within one material¹⁷. Moreover, these artificial materials could be used as p–n diodes without resorting to *in situ* doping or transfer processes, as shown in the example in FIG. 4c, where a lateral boundary between WSe₂ and MoS₂ serves as a p–n junction¹³⁴ and can be used as a light-emitting diode.

Semiconductor devices

Mobility engineering. The suitability of 2D materials for applications based on quantum transport is determined by the mean free path of charge, spin or valley carriers, which for charge carriers is directly proportional to the charge carrier mobility. Although the theoretically predicted values for the charge carrier mobility are very promising for 2D TMDCs^{135–137}, the mobility is in practice limited by disorder and by the presence of scattering sources. These can be intrinsic charged point defects or defects in the surrounding dielectric or at the semiconductor/dielectric interface, which can trap charges and scatter mobile charge carriers. Intrinsic and remote optical phonons in the environment of the 2D semiconductor can further limit the mobility close to room temperature.

Theoretical calculations for monolayer MoS₂ predict a room-temperature electron mobility in the range of $10\text{--}1,000 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and low-temperature values exceeding $10^5 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, depending on factors such as the impurity density and the dielectric environment^{135,138,139}. The measured mobility for atomically thin MoS₂ is almost two orders of magnitude lower than that of its bulk counterpart^{1,78,140}. The degradation of the mobility when going from bulk to thin-film samples can be understood considering that atomically thin materials are more sensitive to environmental factors owing to their increased surface-to-volume ratio. Remote optical phonons and Coulomb scattering from the interfacial charge traps are proposed to be the dominant reasons behind the low carrier mobility in MoS₂ atomically thin layers.

The encapsulation of monolayer MoS₂ with a HfO₂ dielectric layer enabled the first demonstration of a transistor based on monolayer MoS₂ (REF. 1) and, in general, on a 2D TMDC semiconductor. Subsequent temperature-dependent mobility measurements¹⁴¹ on monolayer MoS₂ in a multiprobe geometry gave the first insights into mobility degradation in monolayer TMDCs. For devices with an exposed MoS₂ channel, mobility increases with increasing temperature until it reaches a peak value at $T \approx 200 \text{ K}$, after which it starts to degrade again, indicating that scattering from charged impurities is the dominant mechanism limiting the mobility at low temperatures. In this regime, increasing the temperature increases the thermal energy of the carriers and their thermal velocity, which in turn reduces the scattering cross section. Above $\sim 200 \text{ K}$, phonon scattering becomes the dominant scattering mechanism. As temperature increases,




Figure 4 | Examples of synthesis of 2D TMDC lateral heterostructures.

a | Schematic drawing showing the growth of lateral heterostructures based on 2D transition metal dichalcogenide (TMDC) materials. **b** | High-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy image of the lateral heterostructure formed between MoSe₂ and WSe₂. **c** | Optical image of a p–n junction based on a MoS₂/WSe₂ lateral heterostructure. Panel **a** is adapted with permission from REF. 130, Macmillan Publishers Limited. Panel **b** is adapted with permission from REF. 131, Macmillan Publishers Limited. Panel **c** is adapted with permission from REF. 133, AAAS.

the number of phonon modes available for scattering increases, resulting in reduced mobility. The deposition of a thin layer of a dielectric material with a high dielectric constant κ , such as HfO_2 , on MoS_2 enhances the low-temperature mobility, owing to the effective screening of the static Coulomb scattering from charged impurities¹³⁹ (FIG. 5a). Adding a metallic top gate on the surface of the dielectric results in a further increase in the mobility; the measured electron mobility has an impurity-limited value of $120 - 180 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The mobility starts decreasing above 20 K (FIG. 5a) and in the 100 – 300 K range it can be fitted using the power-law dependence $\mu \approx T^{-\gamma}$, where γ has values in the range of 0.55 – 0.78. This value is much smaller than the experimental values found for bulk crystals ($\gamma = 2.6$ (REF. 140)) or for bare monolayers ($\gamma = 1.4$ (REF. 141)), showing that the presence of the top gate can reduce the rate of mobility degradation with rising temperature, and can allow the observation of the metal–insulator transition and of band transport¹⁴¹. Temperature-dependent conductivity measurements further support this interpretation. Bare MoS_2 devices show transport features that can be fitted using a variable-range hopping model, which is typical of electrical transport through localized states in disordered low-dimensional systems. Here, the disorder can come from intrinsic defects, adsorbates or defects in the substrate. In the presence of a material with a high dielectric constant and top gate, the transport displays thermally activated behaviour with conductance proportional to $e^{-E_a/k_B T}$ (where E_a is the activation energy and k_B is the Boltzmann constant), which indicates the effective screening of charged impurities and results in a reduction of disorder.

Dielectric environment engineering promises an effective approach to mobility enhancement^{139,141}. However, it is important to understand the intrinsic electrical transport properties of 2D semiconductors in the absence of the dielectric layer, which can influence the charge impurity scattering or the remote optical phonon scattering. A promising avenue for the achievement of high mobilities consists in the elimination of external impurities, such as adsorbates from the atmosphere or impurities originating from the fabrication process. This can be done by *in situ* annealing in vacuum¹⁴², which enhances the conductivity of the MoS_2 channel, increases n-type doping levels and significantly reduces the contact resistance, resulting in low-temperature mobilities of $250 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $375 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in unencapsulated mono- and bilayer MoS_2 , respectively. Combining this approach with the use of substrates with a high dielectric constant results in the highest room-temperature mobility reported to date ($\sim 150 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for monolayer MoS_2 deposited on HfO_2)¹⁴³. Using polymer substrates with high optical phonon frequencies also resulted in improved mobility for MoSe_2 (REF. 144).

The encapsulation of MoS_2 in hexagonal boron nitride¹⁴⁵ (hBN; FIG. 5b), combined with the use of graphene as the contact between the metal and the MoS_2 layer¹⁴⁶, resulted in the highest low-temperature mobilities measured to date, owing to the reduced number of adsorbates and the low roughness of the hBN substrate. Magnetotransport measurements

revealed low-temperature values of the Hall mobility reaching $34,000 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for six layers of MoS_2 and $1,000 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for monolayer MoS_2 (FIG. 5c). The values for the critical exponent γ are in the range of 1.9–2.5, which is higher than that for HfO_2 (REF. 141). This could be attributed to the less efficient suppression of homopolar phonon modes by a relatively thin hBN film as compared to 30 nm of HfO_2 with metallic gates. Modelling of the various potential scattering sources suggests that long- and short-range interfacial scattering are the limiting factors for the low-temperature impurity-dominated mobility¹⁴⁵. Because the impurity-dominated mobility increases with the layer thickness, it can be excluded that short-range intrinsic defects and bulk charged impurities have a role in determining its value. A similar improvement in the mobility as a result of encapsulation was reported for WS_2 (REFS 147–149).

These high mobility values enabled the observation of Shubnikov–de Haas (SdH) oscillations in the electrical conductivity at low temperatures and high magnetic fields. However, for the MoS_2 sample with graphene contacts encapsulated in hBN¹⁴⁵, the presence of high-mobility graphene implies that the SdH oscillations could contain contributions both from graphene and MoS_2 . The contribution of graphene to the SdH oscillations is suggested by the observation of plateau-like behaviour in the measured Hall resistance, which could be attributed to the onset of the quantum Hall states in graphene and by the discrepancy between the carrier densities estimated from Hall measurements and from SdH oscillations. Magnetoresistance measurements performed on p-type WSe_2 encapsulated in hBN¹⁵⁰ with metallic electrodes enabled the observation of SdH oscillations (FIG. 5d) and of the quantum Hall states in mono- and bilayer WSe_2 . In this case, the absence of graphene excludes any contribution to the SdH oscillations other than that from the WSe_2 layers, and the carrier density extracted from the SdH oscillation frequencies agrees well with the Hall measurements assuming a twofold degeneracy of the Landau levels.

The reduction of extrinsic sources of scattering highly improves the low-temperature mobility. An avenue for further improvement would consist of implementing the strategies used for controlling the intrinsic limiting factors for the mobility, such as crystal defects. By combining methods that reduce intrinsic scattering with encapsulation techniques, which reduce extrinsic scattering, could lead to further improvements in both room- and low-temperature mobilities.

High-frequency operation. High mobilities are important for device operation based on quantum transport. However, reducing the channel length can result in improved transistor performance and operating frequency, reducing the importance of the mobility for devices with sub-10 nm gate lengths. At such short length scales many 2D materials are expected to show ballistic transport. The main limiting factor for device performance in this case is direct tunnelling between the contacts, which can degrade the off-state currents and thus lower the on/off current ratio.




Figure 5 | Mobility in TMDC-based devices. **a** | Temperature dependence of the mobility for a transistor based on monolayer MoS_2 with the exposed channel, and for the same transistor encapsulated in HfO_2 and with a top gate. This last device is shown in the inset. **b** | Schematic depiction of a MoS_2 Hall bar device encapsulated in hexagonal boron nitride (hBN). The close-up view shows a cross section of the metal–graphene– MoS_2 contacts. **c** | Temperature dependence of the Hall mobility, μ_h , for MoS_2 devices with various thicknesses encapsulated in hBN. The dashed line shows the power law $\mu_h \approx T^{-\gamma}$; the values of γ used for the fit for each device are shown. The low-temperature values of μ_h reach $34,000 \text{ cm}^2 \text{V}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ for 6 layers of MoS_2 and $1,020 \text{ cm}^2 \text{V}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ for monolayer MoS_2 . **d** | Shubnikov–de Haas oscillations in encapsulated monolayer WSe_2 . The longitudinal (R_{xx}) and Hall (R_{xy}) resistances are measured as a function of the magnetic field at a temperature of 1.6 K and a hole density of $7.9 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. Shubnikov–de Haas oscillations appear in R_{xx} starting at magnetic field $\sim 4.5 \text{ T}$. The oscillations exhibit a density-to-frequency ratio of $2e/h$ (where e is the charge of the electron and h is the Planck constant), which is an indicator of twofold degenerate Landau levels. The Shubnikov–de Haas oscillations in R_{xx} are followed by the appearance of steps in R_{xy} , indicating the developing quantum Hall states. CVD, chemical vapour deposition; L, layer; n_{2D} , 2D carrier density; TMDC, transition metal dichalcogenide. Panel **a** is adapted with permission from REF. 141, Macmillan Publishers Limited. Panels **b** and **c** are adapted with permission from REF. 145, Macmillan Publishers Limited. Panel **d** is adapted with permission from REF. 150, American Physical Society.

For devices based on graphene, owing to the high mobility and saturation velocity, the high-frequency performance exhibits low impedance, large transconductance ($g_m = dI_{ds}/dV_{gate}$) and high intrinsic cut-off frequency f_T (the frequency at which the current gain becomes unity). However, in addition to the current gain, which is relevant in demodulators for telecommunication, the power and voltage gain are

important factors for high-frequency operation. For graphene, because of the absence of a bandgap, the maximum oscillation frequency f_{max} (the power gain cut-off frequency) is not as high as f_T . Also, the voltage gain ($A_v = g_m/g_{ds}$) is limited by the relatively high drain conductance g_{ds} , because the absence of a bandgap makes it difficult to achieve current saturation in graphene transistors^{151,152}.

High-frequency 2D TMDC devices, owing to the presence of a sizable bandgap, are expected to exhibit voltage and power gain in addition to the current gain. Simulations of ballistic quantum transport predict that values of f_T above 100 GHz could be obtained in MoS₂ transistors with a channel length of 15 nm (REF. 153). Although still far from this number, the first radio-frequency MoS₂ devices¹⁵⁴ integrated with coplanar waveguides showed operation in the gigahertz frequency range with a de-embedded cut-off frequency for current amplification (f_T) of 6 GHz, as well as a power gain cut-off (f_{max}) of 8.2 GHz and voltage amplification above 5 GHz, demonstrating the feasibility of realizing logic circuits based on TMDCs operating in the gigahertz range.

Reducing the channel length below 100 nm and improving the contacts by edge injection¹⁵⁵ results in $f_T = 25$ GHz, $f_{max} = 16$ GHz and voltage amplification up to 45 GHz. Further optimization of the contacts and device geometry could be achieved using self-aligned devices¹⁵⁶. In addition, the promising radio-frequency behaviour of MoS₂ transistors enables the fabrication of electronic elements such as radio frequency amplifiers and digital inverters on rigid and flexible substrates^{156,157}. Although the reported radio-frequency performance of flexible MoS₂ transistors ($f_T \approx 13.5$ GHz, $f_{max} \approx 10.5$ GHz) is comparable to that of flexible devices based on silicon nanomembranes ($f_T \approx 3.8$ GHz, $f_{max} \approx 12$ GHz)¹⁵⁸ and worse than that of flexible devices based on III–V semiconductors ($f_T \approx 105$ GHz, $f_{max} \approx 22.9$ GHz)¹⁵⁹, the realization of radio-frequency electronics on flexible substrates exploits the extraordinary mechanical properties of 2D materials, such as the high Young's modulus, high breaking strength and elastic behaviour. These properties make TMDCs an attractive alternative to commercial semiconductors for state-of-the-art flexible radio-frequency circuits. More detailed studies are needed to investigate the radio-frequency performance of flexible MoS₂ devices subject to deformation.

Strain engineering in TMDCs. The high strength of 2D TMDCs, which can endure strains of up to 10% before breaking¹⁶⁰, makes them promising candidates for strain engineering, because it allows the application of strains high enough to induce considerable changes in the materials properties. Their three-fold symmetry is also advantageous, making it possible to vary the properties using lower strains as compared with those needed in graphene, which has six-fold symmetry^{161,162}. Calculations suggest that tensile strain would reduce the bandgap of semiconducting TMDCs^{163–165}, turning them into indirect semiconductors^{163,164,166–172}, and that the bandgap would fully close at a level of biaxial tensile strain of $\sim 10\%$ ^{163,164}. In addition to the bandgap, strain is expected to modulate the charge carrier effective masses^{166,173}, thermal conductivity^{174,175}, dielectric properties¹⁷³, spin–orbit coupling^{176–178} and on-state currents in TMDC transistors^{168,179,180}. Thus, strain engineering is a viable approach for the realization of various types of electronic, optoelectronic, electromechanical and spintronic devices with tunable characteristics¹⁸¹.

Heterostructures formed by vertically stacking different TMDCs are also expected to be strongly affected by strain^{182,183}, which could originate from the interlayer interactions and from lattice mismatch. As in the case of monolayers, strain could be used to modulate the bandgap of hybrid bilayers¹⁸³ and multilayers. Other theoretical studies investigated the effect of strain on anisotropic TMDCs¹⁸⁴, of which ReSe₂ and ReS₂ are the best known examples. Their distorted 1T phase structure gives rise to a strong dependence of the strain response on the direction in which the strain is applied. The carrier mobility might be significantly enhanced if strain is applied in the direction perpendicular to the layers.

A wide range of strategies was exploited for applying strain in nanomechanical devices incorporating 2D TMDCs. These include the use of: wet-etching¹⁸⁵ (FIG. 6a) or polymer sacrificial layers¹⁸⁶ for the fabrication of suspended devices; transfer on a substrate with pre-patterned holes or trenches¹⁸⁷; tailored nanopatterns¹⁸⁸; flexible^{189–191} or pre-stretched¹⁹² substrates (FIG. 6b); and thermal¹⁹³ or piezoelectric expansion¹⁹⁴. Optical techniques, such as photoluminescence spectroscopy^{188–191,194,195}, Raman spectroscopy^{189,191,194,196} and absorption spectroscopy¹⁹⁰, have been used to monitor the effect of strain on the optical bandgap and vibrational modes of 2D MoS₂ and other TMDCs^{197,198}. Photoluminescence measurements¹⁹⁰ confirmed theoretical predictions that strain could reduce the bandgap¹⁶³ and revealed a redshift of ~ 70 meV/% strain for the direct gap transition and of ~ 110 meV/% strain for the indirect gap transition in bilayer MoS₂. However, photoluminescence spectroscopy can only be used on mono- and bilayer samples. Raman spectroscopy showed that the strain-induced symmetry breaking shifts the Raman modes in mono- and bilayer MoS₂, splitting the doubly degenerate in-plane vibrational modes¹⁸⁹.

Spatially inhomogeneous strain profiles, generated, for example, by depositing TMDCs on pre-patterned substrates¹⁸⁸, can create a spatially varying bandgap in an initially homogeneous material, and can result in the appearance of the funnel effect, in which optically excited electron–hole pairs drift to areas of the sample where the bandgap is lower before recombining (FIG. 6c). This effect can be exploited to produce light-emitting devices that can be locally configured using strain¹⁸⁸.

The strain-induced modulation of electrical transport can be attributed mainly to the piezotronic and piezoresistive effects, which originate from the piezoelectric effect and from the strain-induced bandgap tuning in TMDCs, respectively. 2H polymorphs of 2D TMDCs with an odd number of layers are piezoelectric because of broken inversion symmetry^{199,200}. Both the conversion of strain to voltage²⁰¹ and of voltage to strain (actuation)¹⁸⁶ have been reported for MoS₂. In this context, the piezotronic effect arises owing to piezoelectric polarization charges appearing at the sample edges, which increase or decrease the Schottky barrier height at the contact depending on the direction of the polarization field. Unlike the piezoelectric effect, the piezoresistive effect, which is due to the strain-induced modulation of the bandgap, appears both in odd and even-layered




Figure 6 | Strain engineering in TMDC-based devices. **a** | Scanning electron microscope image of a device with a suspended MoS₂ channel; electrical contact electrodes clamp the suspended membrane. The suspension is achieved by wet-etching the underlying oxide substrate and ensures the mechanical degree of freedom required for applying strain using a probe. **b** | Three-dimensional rendering based on an atomic force microscope image of a wrinkled few-layer MoS₂ flake. An elastomeric substrate is stretched before the deposition of MoS₂. The strain is then released, producing a buckling-induced delamination of the MoS₂ flakes, which results in a localized strain profile. **c** | Schematic depiction of the funnel effect due to the localized strain profile in MoS₂. Owing to the application of local strain (top panel), the bandgap of the material is locally modified (bottom panel), giving rise to the funnel effect: excitons drift hundreds of nanometres to recombine in the regions of the sample with a lower bandgap. This results in the formation of areas exhibiting strain-induced localized emission. **d** | Strain-induced bandgap tuning and piezoresistivity due to mechanical deformation of the MoS₂ membrane for mono-, bi- and trilayer MoS₂ devices. The graph shows experimentally extracted values for the bandgap change rate and for the piezoresistive gauge factor. ϵ , strain; E_0 , energy of incoming photon; E_{gap} , bandgap energy; PL, photoluminescence; TMDC, transition metal dichalcogenide. Panels **a** and **d** are adapted with permission from REF. 185, American Chemical Society. Panels **b** and **c** are adapted with permission from REF. 192, American Chemical Society.

atomically thin TMDCs. Electromechanical experiments on locally strained, suspended MoS₂, in which electrical conductivity measurements are performed in parallel with mechanical deformation applied using an atomic force microscope, can quantify the piezoresistive effect. The resulting gauge factors (the ratio of the relative change in electrical resistance to the strain) are greater than 100 for mono- and bilayer MoS₂ (REF. 185) (FIG. 6d). The piezoresistive coefficients for mono- and bilayer MoS₂ are comparable to those of state-of-the-art silicon strain sensors²⁰² and two orders of magnitude higher than that of graphene^{203,204}. However, MoS₂ has a much higher fracture strain (as high as 11%)¹⁶⁰ than silicon (0.7%)²⁰⁵, thus it would be more suitable for flexible strain sensors for use on curved surfaces and highly deformable objects, such as biological tissue. The presence of both piezoresistive and piezoelectric

effects in MoS₂ makes this material a promising candidate for ultralow power piezoelectric transduction logic devices^{206,207}. The exploitation of these effects has been demonstrated in MoS₂-based flexible photodetectors, in which the optical response could be modulated using piezophototronic effects²⁰⁸.

The combination of high breaking strain and favourable electrical and optical properties makes 2D TMDCs highly promising materials for the realization of large-area, high-performance flexible devices and circuits. Research on flexible 2D TMDC devices started in 2012 and has achieved performances superior to those of organic, amorphous and metal oxide thin-film transistors. Learning from the experience with graphene, the top-gate device structure was avoided for flexible TMDC transistors^{209–211}, preventing the reduced yield and weaker performance caused by complications

related to the fabrication of the gate stack on flexible substrates²¹². Instead, for TMDC thin-film transistors, the gate structures and channel regions were fabricated separately and transferred together later²¹³, or embedded gate structures^{210,211} and ionic gel gating^{209,214} were used. It is also important to note that the concept of flexible devices extends to stretchability in addition to bendability, making TMDCs particularly suitable for these applications. Stretching flexible TMDC transistors would allow piezoresistive strain sensing^{215,216}. Recently, TMDC-based integrated circuits on flexible substrates were also realized²¹⁷.

Conclusions

We have summarized some of the recent key results on the electronic properties of 2D TMDCs, and in particular of MoS₂, which is the most studied TMDC material because of its robustness and the high availability of the raw material. Owing to their wide range of electrical properties, the atomic-scale thickness of their single layers and the possibility of obtaining a direct bandgap in semiconductor TMDCs, these materials

display a rich physics and hold promise for a wide range of applications in low-power electronics, flexible electronics, optoelectronics, straintronics or spintronics. Furthermore, TMDCs show promise for biophysics applications, such as DNA sequencing and personalized medicine, because nanopores based on atomically thin membranes of TMDCs were shown to provide a rapid, high-resolution method for DNA sequencing. Although the atomic thickness of TMDC-based nanopores enables the necessary spatial resolution for nanopore DNA sequencing, the fast translocation speeds of DNA across such ultrathin membranes limits the detectability of single nucleotides. This issue has been addressed by using ionic liquids to create a viscosity gradient that can control the DNA translocation across MoS₂ nanopores^{218,219}.

The rapid progress of the field of TMDCs is reflected in the large number of scientists working on these materials and in the fast rise in the number of publications. However, the field is in many ways still in its early stage, with a large number of TMDC materials that have not been seriously studied or even synthesized yet and which promise many more exciting discoveries.

- Radisavljevic, B., Radenovic, A., Brivio, J., Giacometti, V. & Kis, A. Single-layer MoS₂ transistors. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **6**, 147–150 (2011).
- Splendiani, A. *et al.* Emerging photoluminescence in monolayer MoS₂. *Nano Lett.* **10**, 1271–1275 (2010).
- Mak, K. F., Lee, C., Hone, J., Shan, J. & Heinz, T. F. Atomically thin MoS₂: a new direct-gap semiconductor. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 136805 (2010).
- Dickinson, R. G. & Pauling, L. The crystal structure of molybdenite. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **45**, 1466–1471 (1923).
- Wilson, J. A. & Yoffe, A. D. The transition metal dichalcogenides discussion and interpretation of the observed optical, electrical and structural properties. *Adv. Phys.* **18**, 193–335 (1969).
- Frindt, R. F. & Yoffe, A. D. Physical properties of layer structures: optical properties and photoconductivity of thin crystals of molybdenum disulphide. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **273**, 69–83 (1963).
- Joensen, P., Frindt, R. F. & Morrison, S. R. Single-layer MoS₂. *Mater. Res. Bull.* **21**, 457–461 (1986).
- Tenne, R., Margulis, L., Genut, M. & Hodes, G. Polyhedral and cylindrical structures of tungsten disulfide. *Nature* **360**, 444–446 (1992).
- Feldman, Y., Wasserman, E., Srolowitz, D. J. & Tenne, R. High-rate, gas-phase growth of MoS₂ nested inorganic fullerenes and nanotubes. *Science* **267**, 222–225 (1995).
- Koppens, F. H. L. *et al.* Photodetectors based on graphene, other two-dimensional materials and hybrid systems. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **9**, 780–793 (2014).
- Xu, X., Yao, W., Xiao, D. & Heinz, T. F. Spin and pseudospins in layered transition metal dichalcogenides. *Nat. Phys.* **10**, 343–350 (2014).
- Mak, K. F. & Shan, J. Photonics and optoelectronics of 2D semiconductor transition metal dichalcogenides. *Nat. Photonics* **10**, 216–226 (2016).
- Hill, H. M., Rigosi, A. F., Rim, K. T., Flynn, G. W. & Heinz, T. F. Band alignment in MoS₂/WS₂ transition metal dichalcogenide heterostructures probed by scanning tunneling microscopy and spectroscopy. *Nano Lett.* **16**, 4837–4837 (2016).
- Zhu, Z. Y., Cheng, Y. C. & Schwingenschlögl, U. Giant spin-orbit-induced spin splitting in two-dimensional transition-metal dichalcogenide semiconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 153402 (2011).
- Andor, K. *et al.* k-p theory for two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenide semiconductors. *2D Mater.* **2**, 2053–1583 (2015).
- Xiao, D., Liu, G.-B., Feng, W., Xu, X. & Yao, W. Coupled spin and valley physics in monolayers of MoS₂ and other group-VI dichalcogenides. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 196802 (2012).
- Pulkin, A. & Yazyev, O. V. Spin- and valley-polarized transport across line defects in monolayer MoS₂. *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 041419 (2016).
- Habe, T. & Koshino, M. Spin-dependent refraction at the atomic step of transition-metal dichalcogenides. *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 201407 (2015).
- Peierls, S. R. E. *Quantum Theory of Solids* (Clarendon Press, 1955).
- Frohlich, H. On the theory of superconductivity: the one-dimensional case. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **223**, 305 (1954).
- Chan, S.-K. & Heine, V. Spin density wave and soft phonon mode from nesting Fermi surfaces. *J. Phys. F Met. Phys.* **3**, 795–809 (1973).
- Lomer, W. M. Electronic structure of chromium group metals. *Proc. Phys. Soc.* **80**, 489–496 (1962).
- Wilson, J. A., Di Salvo, F. J. & Mahajan, S. Charge-density waves in metallic, layered, transition-metal dichalcogenides. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **37**, C4-139-C4-150 (1974).
- Wilson, J. A., Di Salvo, F. J. & Mahajan, S. Charge-density waves and superlattices in the metallic layered transition metal dichalcogenides. *Adv. Phys.* **50**, 1171–1248 (2010).
- Moncton, D. E., Axe, J. D. & Di Salvo, F. J. Neutron scattering study of the charge-density wave transitions in 2H-TaSe₂ and 2H-NbSe₂. *Phys. Rev. B* **16**, 801–819 (1977).
- Di Salvo, J., Francis, J. & Rice, T. M. Charge-density waves in transition-metal compounds. *Phys. Today* **32**, 32–38 (1979).
- Revolinsky, E., Spiering, G. A. & Beerntsen, D. J. Superconductivity in the niobium-selenium system. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **26**, 1029–1034 (1965).
- Perfetti, L., Gloor, T. A., Mila, F., Berger, H. & Griani, M. Unexpected periodicity in the quasi-two-dimensional Mott insulator 1T-TaS₂ revealed by angle-resolved photoemission. *Phys. Rev. B* **71**, 153101 (2005).
- Sipos, B. *et al.* From Mott state to superconductivity in 1T-TaS₂. *Nat. Mater.* **7**, 960–965 (2008).
- Calandra, M. 2D materials: charge density waves go nano. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **10**, 737–738 (2015).
- Calandra, M., Mazin, I. I. & Mauri, F. Effect of dimensionality on the charge-density wave in few-layer 2H-NbSe₂. *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 241108 (2009).
- Xi, X. *et al.* Strongly enhanced charge-density-wave order in monolayer NbSe₂. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **10**, 765–769 (2015).
- Ugeda, M. M. *et al.* Characterization of collective ground states in single-layer NbSe₂. *Nat. Phys.* **12**, 92–97 (2016).
- Ge, Y. & Liu, A. Y. Effect of dimensionality and spin-orbit coupling on charge-density-wave transition in 2H-TaSe₂. *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 104101 (2012).
- Yu, Y. *et al.* Gate-tunable phase transitions in thin flakes of 1T-TaS₂. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **10**, 270–276 (2015).
- Hovden, R. *et al.* Atomic lattice disorder in charge-density-wave phases of exfoliated dichalcogenides (1T-TaS₂). *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **113**, 11424 (2016).
- Albertini, O. R. *et al.* Zone-center phonons of bulk, few-layer, and monolayer 1T-TaS₂: detection of the commensurate charge density wave phase through Raman scattering. *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 214109 (2016).
- Sugawara, K. *et al.* Unconventional charge-density-wave transition in monolayer 1T-TiSe₂. *ACS Nano* **10**, 1341–1345 (2016).
- Chen, P. *et al.* Dimensional effects on the charge density waves in ultrathin films of TiSe₂. *Nano Lett.* **16**, 6331–6336 (2016).
- Kohn, W. Excitonic phases. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **19**, 439–442 (1967).
- Jérome, D., Rice, T. M. & Kohn, W. Excitonic insulator. *Phys. Rev.* **158**, 462–475 (1967).
- Guillamón, I. *et al.* Superconducting density of states and vortex cores of 2H-NbS₂. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 166407 (2008).
- Valla, T. *et al.* Charge-density-wave-induced modifications to the quasiparticle self-energy in 2H-TaSe₂. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 4759–4762 (2000).
- Nagata, S. *et al.* Superconductivity in the layered compound 2H-TaS₂. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **53**, 1259–1263 (1992).
- Freitas, D. C. *et al.* Strong enhancement of superconductivity at high pressures within the charge-density-wave states of 2H-TaS₂ and 2H-TaSe₂. *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 184512 (2016).
- Kusmartseva, A. F., Sipos, B., Berger, H., Forró, L. & Tütis, E. Pressure induced superconductivity in pristine 1T-TaS₂. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 236401 (2009).
- Morosan, E. *et al.* Superconductivity in Cu_xTiSe₂. *Nat. Phys.* **2**, 544–550 (2006).
- Wagner, K. E. *et al.* Tuning the charge density wave and superconductivity in Cu_xTaS₂. *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 104520 (2008).
- Yang, J. J. *et al.* Charge-orbital density wave and superconductivity in the strong spin-orbit coupled IrTe₂:Pd. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 116402 (2012).
- Kosterlitz, J. M. & Thouless, D. J. Ordering, metastability and phase transitions in two-dimensional systems. *J. Phys. C Solid State Phys.* **6**, 1181–1203 (1973).

51. Xi, X. *et al.* Ising pairing in superconducting NbSe₂ atomic layers. *Nat. Phys.* **12**, 139–143 (2016).
52. Lu, J. M. *et al.* Evidence for two-dimensional Ising superconductivity in gated MoS₂. *Science* **350**, 1353–1357 (2015).
53. He, W.-Y., Zhou, B. T., He, J. J., Zhang, T. & Law, K. T. Nodal topological superconductivity in monolayer NbSe₂. Preprint at *ArXiv* <https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.02867> (2016).
54. Sharma, G. & Tewari, S. Yu-Shiba-Rusinov states and topological superconductivity in Ising paired superconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 094515 (2016).
55. Zhou, B. T., Yuan, N. F. Q., Jiang, H.-L. & Law, K. T. Ising superconductivity and Majorana fermions in transition-metal dichalcogenides. *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 180501 (2016).
56. Ye, J. T. *et al.* Superconducting dome in a gated-tuned band insulator. *Science* **338**, 1193–1196 (2012).
57. Costanzo, D., Jo, S., Berger, H. & Morpurgo, A. F. Gate-induced superconductivity in atomically thin MoS₂ crystals. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **11**, 339–344 (2016).
58. Shi, W. *et al.* Superconductivity series in transition metal dichalcogenides by ionic gating. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 12534 (2015).
59. Jo, S., Costanzo, D., Berger, H. & Morpurgo, A. F. Electrostatically induced superconductivity at the surface of WS₂. *Nano Lett.* **15**, 1197–1202 (2015).
60. Hasan, M. Z. & Kane, C. L. Colloquium: topological insulators. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 3045–3067 (2010).
61. Qi, X.-L. & Zhang, S.-C. Topological insulators and superconductors. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83**, 1057–1110 (2011).
62. Qian, X., Liu, J., Fu, L. & Li, J. Quantum spin Hall effect in two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides. *Science* **346**, 1344–1347 (2014).
63. Bernevig, B. A., Hughes, T. L. & Zhang, S.-C. Quantum spin Hall effect and topological phase transition in HgTe quantum wells. *Science* **314**, 1757–1761 (2006).
64. König, M. *et al.* Quantum spin Hall insulator state in HgTe quantum wells. *Science* **318**, 766–770 (2007).
65. Knez, I., Du, R.-R. & Sullivan, G. Evidence for helical edge modes in inverted InAs/GaSb quantum wells. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 136603 (2011).
66. Sabater, C. *et al.* Topologically protected quantum transport in locally exfoliated bismuth at room temperature. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 176802 (2013).
67. Drozdov, I. K. *et al.* One-dimensional topological edge states of bismuth bilayers. *Nat. Phys.* **10**, 664–669 (2014).
68. Ali, M. N. *et al.* Large, non-saturating magnetoresistance in WTe₂. *Nature* **514**, 205–208 (2014).
69. Pletikosić, I., Ali, M. N., Fedorov, A. V., Cava, R. J. & Valla, T. Electronic structure basis for the extraordinary magnetoresistance in WTe₂. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 216601 (2014).
70. Soluyanov, A. A. *et al.* Type-II Weyl semimetals. *Nature* **527**, 495–498 (2015).
71. Wang, Z. *et al.* MoTe₂: a type-II Weyl topological metal. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 056805 (2016).
72. Sun, Y., Wu, S.-C., Ali, M. N., Felser, C. & Yan, B. Prediction of Weyl semimetal in orthorhombic MoTe₂. *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 161107 (2015).
73. Bruno, F. Y. *et al.* Observation of large topologically trivial Fermi arcs in the candidate type-II Weyl semimetal WTe₂. *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 121112 (2016).
74. Tamai, A. *et al.* Fermi arcs and their topological character in the candidate type-II Weyl semimetal MoTe₂. *Phys. Rev. X* **6**, 031021 (2016).
75. Huang, Y.-Preparation, S. Electrical and modulation optical properties of 2H-MoS₂. *Chin. J. Phys.* **22**, 43–53 (1984).
76. Schäfer, H. *Chemical transport reactions*. (Academic Press, 1964).
77. Benameur, M. M. *et al.* Visibility of dichalcogenide nanolayers. *Nanotechnology* **22**, 125706 (2011).
78. Novoselov, K. S. *et al.* Two-dimensional atomic crystals. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **102**, 10451–10453 (2005).
79. Coleman, J. N. *et al.* Two-dimensional nanosheets produced by liquid exfoliation of layered materials. *Science* **331**, 568–571 (2011).
80. Nicolosi, V., Chhowalla, M., Kanatzidis, M. G., Strano, M. S. & Coleman, J. N. Liquid exfoliation of layered materials. *Science* **340**, 1226419 (2013).
81. Dines, M. B. Lithium intercalation via n-Butyllithium of the layered transition metal dichalcogenides. *Mater. Res. Bull.* **10**, 287–291 (1975).
82. Eda, G. *et al.* Photoluminescence from chemically exfoliated MoS₂. *Nano Lett.* **11**, 5111–5116 (2011).
83. Zeng, Z. *et al.* Single-layer semiconducting nanosheets: high-yield preparation and device fabrication. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **50**, 11093–11097 (2011).
84. Gordon, R. A., Yang, D., Crozier, E. D., Jiang, D. T. & Frindt, R. F. Structures of exfoliated single layers of WS₂, MoS₂, and MoSe₂ in aqueous suspension. *Phys. Rev. B* **65**, 125407 (2002).
85. Kappera, R. *et al.* Phase-engineered low-resistance contacts for ultrathin MoS₂ transistors. *Nat. Mater.* **13**, 1128–1134 (2014).
86. Joyce, B. A. Molecular beam epitaxy. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **48**, 1637–1697 (1985).
87. Koma, A. & Yoshimura, K. Ultrasharp interfaces grown with van der waals epitaxy. *Surf. Sci.* **174**, 556–560 (1986).
88. Ohuchi, F. S., Shimada, T., Parkinson, B. A., Ueno, K. & Koma, A. Growth of MoSe₂ thin-films with Van der Waals epitaxy. *J. Cryst. Growth* **111**, 1033–1037 (1991).
89. Ohuchi, F. S., Parkinson, B. A., Ueno, K. & Koma, A. Van der Waals epitaxial growth and characterization of MoSe₂ thin films on SnS₂. *J. Appl. Phys.* **68**, 2168–2175 (1990).
90. Koma, A. Van der Waals epitaxy for highly lattice-mismatched systems. *J. Cryst. Growth* **201–202**, 236–241 (1999).
91. Dumcenco, D. *et al.* Large-area epitaxial monolayer MoS₂. *ACS Nano* **9**, 4611–4620 (2015).
92. Lehtinen, O. *et al.* Atomic scale microstructure and properties of Se-deficient two-dimensional MoSe₂. *ACS Nano* **9**, 3274–3283 (2015).
93. Roy, A. *et al.* Structural and electrical properties of MoTe₂ and MoSe₂ grown by molecular beam epitaxy. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **8**, 7396–7402 (2016).
94. Ugeda, M. M. *et al.* Giant bandgap renormalization and excitonic effects in a monolayer transition metal dichalcogenide semiconductor. *Nat. Mater.* **13**, 1091–1095 (2014).
95. Barja, S. *et al.* Charge density wave order in 1D mirror twin boundaries of single-layer MoSe₂. *Nat. Phys.* **12**, 751–756 (2016).
96. Aretouli, K. E. *et al.* Epitaxial 2D SnSe₂/2D WS₂ van der Waals heterostructures. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **8**, 23222–23229 (2016).
97. Xenogiannopoulou, E. *et al.* High-quality, large-area MoSe₂ and MoSe₂/Bi₂Se₃ heterostructures on AlN(0001)/Si(111) substrates by molecular beam epitaxy. *Nanoscale* **7**, 7896–7905 (2015).
98. Vishwanath, S. *et al.* Comprehensive structural and optical characterization of MBE grown MoSe₂ on graphite, CaF₂ and graphene. *2D Mater.* **2**, 024007 (2015).
99. Zhan, Y., Liu, Z., Najmaei, S., Ajayan, P. M. & Lou, J. Large-area vapor-phase growth and characterization of MoS₂ atomic layers on a SiO₂ substrate. *Small* **8**, 966–971 (2012).
100. Liu, K.-K. *et al.* Growth of large-area and highly crystalline MoS₂ thin layers on insulating substrates. *Nano Lett.* **12**, 1538–1544 (2012).
101. Shi, Y. *et al.* Van der Waals epitaxy of MoS₂ layers using graphene as growth templates. *Nano Lett.* **12**, 2784–2791 (2012).
102. Lee, Y.-H. *et al.* Synthesis of large-area MoS₂ atomic layers with chemical vapor deposition. *Adv. Mater.* **24**, 2320–2325 (2012).
103. Najmaei, S. *et al.* Vapor phase growth and grain boundary structure of molybdenum disulfide atomic layers. *Nat. Mater.* **12**, 754–759 (2013).
104. van der Zande, A. M. *et al.* Grains and grain boundaries in highly crystalline monolayer molybdenum disulfide. *Nat. Mater.* **12**, 554–561 (2013).
105. Zou, X., Liu, Y. & Yakobson, B. I. Predicting dislocations and grain boundaries in two-dimensional metal-disulfides from the first principles. *Nano Lett.* **13**, 253–258 (2012).
106. Najmaei, S. *et al.* Electrical transport properties of polycrystalline monolayer molybdenum disulfide. *ACS Nano* **8**, 7930–7937 (2014).
107. Chung, J.-W., Dai, Z. R. & Ohuchi, F. S. WS₂ thin films by metal organic chemical vapor deposition. *J. Cryst. Growth* **186**, 137–150 (1998).
108. Dumcenco, D. *et al.* Large-area MoS₂ grown using H₂S as the sulphur source. *2D Mater.* **2**, 044005 (2015).
109. Eichfeld, S. M. *et al.* Highly scalable, atomically thin WS₂ grown via metal-organic chemical vapor deposition. *ACS Nano* **9**, 2080–2087 (2015).
110. Kang, K. *et al.* High-mobility three-atom-thick semiconducting films with wafer-scale homogeneity. *Nature* **520**, 656–660 (2015).
111. Wang, X. *et al.* Chemical vapor deposition growth of crystalline monolayer MoSe₂. *ACS Nano* **8**, 5125–5131 (2014).
112. Xia, J. *et al.* CVD synthesis of large-area, highly crystalline MoSe₂ atomic layers on diverse substrates and application to photodetectors. *Nanoscale* **6**, 8949–8955 (2014).
113. Chang, Y.-H. *et al.* Monolayer MoSe₂ grown by chemical vapor deposition for fast photodetection. *ACS Nano* **8**, 8582–8590 (2014).
114. Gao, Y. *et al.* Large-area synthesis of high-quality and uniform monolayer WS₂ on reusable Au foils. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 8569 (2015).
115. Kobayashi, Y. *et al.* Growth and optical properties of high-quality monolayer WS₂ on graphite. *ACS Nano* **9**, 4056–4063 (2015).
116. McCreary, K. M., Hanbicki, A. T., Jernigan, G. G., Culbertson, J. C. & Jonker, B. T. Synthesis of large-area WS₂ monolayers with exceptional photoluminescence. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 19159 (2016).
117. Okada, M. *et al.* Direct chemical vapor deposition growth of WS₂ atomic layers on hexagonal boron nitride. *ACS Nano* **8**, 8273–8277 (2014).
118. Tan, H. *et al.* Ultrathin 2D photodetectors utilizing chemical vapor deposition grown WS₂ with graphene electrodes. *ACS Nano* **10**, 7866–7873 (2016).
119. Tanabe, I. *et al.* Band structure characterization of WS₂ grown by chemical vapor deposition. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **108**, 252103 (2016).
120. Zhang, Y. *et al.* Controlled growth of high-quality monolayer WS₂ layers on sapphire and imaging its grain boundary. *ACS Nano* **7**, 8963–8971 (2013).
121. Chen, J. *et al.* Chemical vapor deposition of large-sized hexagonal WSe₂ crystals on dielectric substrates. *Adv. Mater.* **27**, 6722–6727 (2015).
122. Liu, B. *et al.* Chemical vapor deposition growth of monolayer WSe₂ with tunable device characteristics and growth mechanism study. *ACS Nano* **9**, 6119–6127 (2015).
123. Yoshida, M. *et al.* Gate-optimized thermoelectric power factor in ultrathin WSe₂ single crystals. *Nano Lett.* **16**, 2061–2065 (2016).
124. Keyshar, K. *et al.* Chemical vapor deposition of monolayer rhenium disulfide (ReS₂). *Adv. Mater.* **27**, 4640–4648 (2015).
125. He, X. *et al.* Chemical vapor deposition of high-quality and atomically layered ReS₂. *Small* **11**, 5423–5429 (2015).
126. Hafeez, M., Gan, L., Li, H., Ma, Y. & Zhai, T. Large-area bilayer ReS₂ film/multilayer ReS₂ flakes synthesized by chemical vapor deposition for high performance photodetectors. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **26**, 4551–4560 (2016).
127. Hafeez, M., Gan, L., Li, H., Ma, Y. & Zhai, T. Chemical vapor deposition synthesis of ultrathin hexagonal ReSe₂ flakes for anisotropic raman property and optoelectronic application. *Adv. Mater.* **28**, 8296–8301 (2016).
128. Naylor, C. H. *et al.* Monolayer single-crystal 1T'-MoTe₂ grown by chemical vapor deposition exhibits weak antilocalization effect. *Nano Lett.* **16**, 4297–4304 (2016).
129. Zhou, J. *et al.* Large-area and high-quality 2D transition metal telluride. *Adv. Mat.* **29**, 1603471 (2017).
130. Duan, X. *et al.* Lateral epitaxial growth of two-dimensional layered semiconductor heterojunctions. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **9**, 1024–1030 (2014).
131. Huang, C. *et al.* Lateral heterojunctions within monolayer MoSe₂-WSe₂ semiconductors. *Nat. Mater.* **13**, 1096–1101 (2014).
132. Gong, Y. *et al.* Vertical and in-plane heterostructures from WS₂/MoS₂ monolayers. *Nat. Mater.* **13**, 1135–1142 (2014).
133. Li, M.-Y. *et al.* Epitaxial growth of a monolayer WSe₂-MoS₂ lateral p-n junction with an atomically sharp interface. *Science* **349**, 524–528 (2015).
134. Yu, H., Kutana, A. & Yakobson, B. I. Carrier delocalization in two-dimensional coplanar p-n junctions of graphene and metal dichalcogenides. *Nano Lett.* **16**, 5032–5036 (2016).
135. Kaasbjerg, K., Thygesen, K. S. & Jacobsen, K. W. Phonon-limited mobility in n-type single-layer MoS₂ from first principles. *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 115317 (2012).
136. Kaasbjerg, K., Thygesen, K. S. & Jauho, A.-P. Acoustic phonon limited mobility in two-dimensional semiconductors: deformation potential and piezoelectric scattering in monolayer MoS₂ from first principles. *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 235312 (2013).

137. Zhang, W., Huang, Z., Zhang, W. & Li, Y. Two-dimensional semiconductors with possible high room temperature mobility. *Nano Res.* **7**, 1731–1737 (2014).
138. Li, X. *et al.* Intrinsic electrical transport properties of monolayer silicene and MoS₂ from first principles. *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 115418 (2013).
139. Ma, N. & Jena, D. Charge scattering and mobility in atomically thin semiconductors. *Phys. Rev. X* **4**, 011043 (2014).
140. Fivaz, R. & Mooser, E. Mobility of charge carriers in semiconducting layer structures. *Phys. Rev.* **163**, 743–755 (1967).
141. Radisavljevic, B. & Kis, A. Mobility engineering and a metal–insulator transition in monolayer MoS₂. *Nat. Mater.* **12**, 815–820 (2013).
142. Baugher, B. W. H., Churchill, H. O. H., Yang, Y. & Jarillo-Herrero, P. Intrinsic electronic transport properties of high-quality monolayer and bilayer MoS₂. *Nano Lett.* **13**, 4212–4216 (2013).
143. Yu, Z. *et al.* Realization of room-temperature phonon-limited carrier transport in monolayer MoS₂ by dielectric and carrier screening. *Adv. Mater.* **28**, 547–552 (2016).
144. Chamlagain, B. *et al.* Mobility improvement and temperature dependence in MoSe₂ field-effect transistors on parylene-c substrate. *ACS Nano* **8**, 5079–5088 (2014).
145. Cui, X. *et al.* Multi-terminal transport measurements of MoS₂ using a van der Waals heterostructure device platform. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **10**, 534–540 (2015).
146. Bertolazzi, S., Krasnozhan, D. & Kis, A. Nonvolatile memory cells based on MoS₂/graphene heterostructures. *ACS Nano* **7**, 3246–3252 (2013).
147. Iqbal, M. W. *et al.* High-mobility and air-stable single-layer WS₂ field-effect transistors sandwiched between chemical vapor deposition-grown hexagonal BN films. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 10699 (2015).
148. Xu, S. *et al.* Universal low-temperature Ohmic contacts for quantum transport in transition metal dichalcogenides. *2D Mater.* **3**, 021007 (2016).
149. Ovchinnikov, D., Allain, A., Huang, Y.-S., Dumcenco, D. & Kis, A. Electrical transport properties of single-layer WS₂. *ACS Nano* **8**, 8174–8181 (2014).
150. Fallahazad, B. *et al.* Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations of high-mobility holes in monolayer and bilayer WSe₂: Landau level degeneracy, effective mass, and negative compressibility. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 086601 (2016).
151. Schwierz, F. Graphene transistors. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **5**, 487–496 (2010).
152. Wu, Y. *et al.* State-of-the-art graphene high-frequency electronics. *Nano Lett.* **12**, 3062–3067 (2012).
153. Yoon, Y., Ganapathi, K. & Salahuddin, S. How good can monolayer MoS₂ transistors be? *Nano Lett.* **11**, 3768–3773 (2011).
154. Krasnozhan, D., Lembke, D., Nyffeler, C., Leblebici, Y. & Kis, A. MoS₂ transistors operating at gigahertz frequencies. *Nano Lett.* **14**, 5905–5911 (2014).
155. Krasnozhan, D., Dutta, S., Nyffeler, C., Leblebici, Y. & Kis, A. High-frequency, scaled MoS₂ transistors. *IEEE Int. Electron Devices Meet.* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/IEDM.2015.7409781> (2015).
156. Cheng, R. *et al.* Few-layer molybdenum disulfide transistors and circuits for high-speed flexible electronics. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 5143 (2014).
157. Chang, H.-Y. *et al.* Large-area monolayer MoS₂ for flexible low-power RF nanoelectronics in the GHz regime. *Adv. Mater.* **28**, 1818–1823 (2015).
158. Sun, L. *et al.* 12-GHz thin-film transistors on transferrable silicon nanomembranes for high-performance flexible electronics. *Small* **6**, 2553–2557 (2010).
159. Wang, C. *et al.* Self-aligned, extremely high frequency III–V metal–oxide–semiconductor field-effect transistors on rigid and flexible substrates. *Nano Lett.* **12**, 4140–4145 (2012).
160. Bertolazzi, S., Brivio, J. & Kis, A. Stretching and breaking of ultrathin MoS₂. *ACS Nano* **5**, 9703–9709 (2011).
161. Ni, Z. H. *et al.* Uniaxial strain on graphene: raman spectroscopy study and band-gap opening. *ACS Nano* **2**, 2301–2305 (2008).
162. Pereira, V. M., Castro Neto, A. H. & Peres, N. M. R. Tight-binding approach to uniaxial strain in graphene. *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 045401 (2009).
163. Johari, P. & Shenoy, V. B. Tuning the electronic properties of semiconducting transition metal dichalcogenides by applying mechanical strains. *ACS Nano* **6**, 5449–5456 (2012).
164. Yue, Q. *et al.* Mechanical and electronic properties of monolayer MoS₂ under elastic strain. *Phys. Lett. A* **376**, 1166–1170 (2012).
165. Shi, H., Pan, H., Zhang, Y.-W. & Yakobson, B. I. Quasiparticle band structures and optical properties of strained monolayer MoS₂ and WS₂. *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 155304 (2013).
166. Dong, L., Namburu, R. R., O'Regan, T. P., Dubey, M. & Dongare, A. M. Theoretical study on strain-induced variations in electronic properties of monolayer MoS₂. *J. Mater. Sci.* **49**, 6762–6771 (2014).
167. Ghorbani-Asl, M., Borini, S., Kuc, A. & Heine, T. Strain-dependent modulation of conductivity in single-layer transition-metal dichalcogenides. *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 235434 (2013).
168. Harada, N., Sato, S. & Yokoyama, N. Computational study on electrical properties of transition metal dichalcogenide field-effect transistors with strained channel. *J. Appl. Phys.* **115**, 034505 (2014).
169. Horzum, S. *et al.* Phonon softening and direct to indirect band gap crossover in strained single-layer MoSe₂. *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 125415 (2013).
170. Lu, P., Wu, X., Guo, W. & Zeng, X. C. Strain-dependent electronic and magnetic properties of MoS₂ monolayer, bilayer, nanoribbons and nanotubes. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **14**, 13035–13040 (2012).
171. Scalise, E., Houssa, M., Pourtois, G., Afanas'ev, V. V. & Stesmans, A. First-principles study of strained 2D MoS₂. *Phys. E* **56**, 416–421 (2014).
172. Wang, L., Kutana, A. & Yakobson, B. I. Many-body and spin–orbit effects on direct–indirect band gap transition of strained monolayer MoS₂ and WS₂: direct–indirect band gap transition in strained monolayer MoS₂ and WS₂. *Ann. Phys.* **526**, L7–L12 (2014).
173. Kumar, A. & Ahluwalia, P. K. Mechanical strain dependent electronic and dielectric properties of two-dimensional honeycomb structures of MoX₂ (X = S, Se, Te). *Phys. B (Amsterdam, Neth.)* **419**, 66–75 (2013).
174. Bhattacharyya, S., Pandey, T. & Singh, A. K. Effect of strain on electronic and thermoelectric properties of few layers to bulk MoS₂. *Nanotechnology* **25**, 465701 (2014).
175. Zhu, L. *et al.* Thermal conductivity of biaxial-strained MoS₂: sensitive strain dependence and size-dependent reduction rate. *Nanotechnology* **26**, 465707 (2015).
176. Rostami, H., Roldán, R., Cappelluti, E., Asgari, R. & Guinea, F. Theory of strain in single-layer transition metal dichalcogenides. *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 195402 (2015).
177. Cheiwchanamngij, T., Lambrecht, W. R. L., Song, Y. & Dery, H. Strain effects on the spin–orbit-induced band structure splittings in monolayer MoS₂ and graphene. *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 155404 (2013).
178. Koskinen, P., Fampiou, I. & Ramasubramaniam, A. Density-functional tight-binding simulations of curvature-controlled layer decoupling and band-gap tuning in bilayer MoS₂. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 186802 (2014).
179. Sengupta, A., Ghosh, R. K. & Mahapatra, S. Performance analysis of strained monolayer MoS₂ MOSFET. *IEEE Trans. Electron. Devices* **60**, 2782–2787 (2013).
180. Mohammad Tabatabaei, S., Noei, M., Khaliji, K., Pourfath, M. & Fathipour, M. A first-principles study on the effect of biaxial strain on the ultimate performance of monolayer MoS₂-based double gate field effect transistor. *J. Appl. Phys.* **113**, 163708 (2013).
181. Feng, J., Qian, X., Huang, C.-W. & Li, J. Strain-engineered artificial atom as a broad-spectrum solar energy funnel. *Nat. Photonics* **6**, 866–872 (2012).
182. Kumar, H., Er, D., Dong, L., Li, J. & Shenoy, V. B. Elastic deformations in 2D van der waals heterostructures and their impact on optoelectronic properties: predictions from a multiscale computational approach. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 10872 (2015).
183. Sharma, M., Kumar, A., Ahluwalia, P. K. & Pandey, R. Strain and electric field induced electronic properties of two-dimensional hybrid bilayers of transition-metal dichalcogenides. *J. Appl. Phys.* **116**, 063711 (2014).
184. Yu, S. *et al.* Strain-engineering the anisotropic electrical conductance in ReS₂ monolayer. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **108**, 191901 (2016).
185. Manzelli, S., Allain, A., Ghadimi, A. & Kis, A. Piezoresistivity and strain-induced band gap tuning in atomically thin MoS₂. *Nano Lett.* **15**, 5330–5335 (2015).
186. Zhu, H. *et al.* Observation of piezoelectricity in free-standing monolayer MoS₂. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **10**, 151–155 (2015).
187. Lloyd, D. *et al.* Band gap engineering with ultralarge biaxial strains in suspended monolayer MoS₂. *Nano Lett.* **16**, 5836–5841 (2016).
188. Li, H. *et al.* Optoelectronic crystal of artificial atoms in strain-textured molybdenum disulfide. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 7381 (2015).
189. Conley, H. J. *et al.* Bandgap engineering of strained monolayer and bilayer MoS₂. *Nano Lett.* **13**, 3626–3630 (2013).
190. He, K., Poole, C., Mak, K. F. & Shan, J. Experimental demonstration of continuous electronic structure tuning via strain in atomically thin MoS₂. *Nano Lett.* **13**, 2931–2936 (2013).
191. Zhu, C. R. *et al.* Strain tuning of optical emission energy and polarization in monolayer and bilayer MoS₂. *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 121301 (2013).
192. Castellanos-Gomez, A. *et al.* Local strain engineering in atomically thin MoS₂. *Nano Lett.* **13**, 5361–5366 (2013).
193. Plechinger, G. *et al.* Control of biaxial strain in single-layer molybdenite using local thermal expansion of the substrate. *2D Mater.* **2**, 015006 (2015).
194. Hui, Y. Y. *et al.* Exceptional tunability of band energy in a compressively strained trilayer MoS₂ sheet. *ACS Nano* **7**, 7126–7131 (2013).
195. Liu, Z. *et al.* Strain and structure heterogeneity in MoS₂ atomic layers grown by chemical vapour deposition. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 5246 (2014).
196. Rice, C. *et al.* Raman-scattering measurements and first-principles calculations of strain-induced phonon shifts in monolayer MoS₂. *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 081307 (2013).
197. Desai, S. B. *et al.* Strain-induced indirect to direct bandgap transition in multilayer WSe₂. *Nano Lett.* **14**, 4592–4597 (2014).
198. Island, J. O. *et al.* Precise and reversible band gap tuning in single-layer MoSe₂ by uniaxial strain. *Nanoscale* **8**, 2589–2593 (2016).
199. Duerloo, K.-A. N., Ong, M. T. & Reed, E. J. Intrinsic piezoelectricity in two-dimensional materials. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **3**, 2871–2876 (2012).
200. Blonsky, M. N., Zhuang, H. L., Singh, A. K. & Hennig, R. G. Ab-initio prediction of piezoelectricity in two-dimensional materials. *ACS Nano* **9**, 9885–9891 (2015).
201. Wu, W. *et al.* Piezoelectricity of single-atomic-layer MoS₂ for energy conversion and piezotronics. *Nature* **514**, 470–474 (2014).
202. Kanda, Y. Piezoresistance effect of silicon. *Sens. Actuators Phys.* **28**, 83–91 (1991).
203. Smith, A. D. *et al.* Electromechanical piezoresistive sensing in suspended graphene membranes. *Nano Lett.* **13**, 3237–3242 (2013).
204. Huang, M., Pascal, T. A., Kim, H., Goddard, W. A. & Greer, J. R. Electronic–mechanical coupling in graphene from *in situ* nanoindentation experiments and multiscale atomistic simulations. *Nano Lett.* **11**, 1241–1246 (2011).
205. Petersen, K. E. Silicon as a mechanical material. *Proc. IEEE* **70**, 420–457 (1982).
206. Solomon, P. M. *et al.* Pathway to the piezoelectronic transduction logic device. *Nano Lett.* **15**, 2391–2395 (2015).
207. News, D., Elmegreen, B., Liu, X. H. & Martyna, G. A low-voltage high-speed electronic switch based on piezoelectric transduction. *J. Appl. Phys.* **111**, 084509 (2012).
208. Wu, W. *et al.* Piezophototronic effect in single-atomic-layer MoS₂ for strain-gated flexible optoelectronics. *Adv. Mater.* **28**, 8463–8468 (2016).
209. Pu, J. *et al.* Highly flexible MoS₂ thin-film transistors with ion gel dielectrics. *Nano Lett.* **12**, 4013–4017 (2012).
210. Chang, H.-Y. *et al.* High-performance, highly bendable MoS₂ transistors with high-K dielectrics for flexible low-power systems. *ACS Nano* **7**, 5446–5452 (2013).
211. Lee, G.-H. *et al.* Flexible and transparent MoS₂ field-effect transistors on hexagonal boron nitride-graphene heterostructures. *ACS Nano* **7**, 7931–7936 (2013).
212. Akinwande, D., Petrone, N. & Hone, J. Two-dimensional flexible nanoelectronics. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 5678 (2014).
213. Yoon, J. *et al.* Highly flexible and transparent multilayer MoS₂ transistors with graphene electrodes. *Small* **9**, 3295–3300 (2013).
214. Pu, J. *et al.* Fabrication of stretchable MoS₂ thin-film transistors using elastic ion-gel gate dielectrics. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **103**, 023505 (2013).

215. Shen, T., Penumatcha, A. V. & Appenzeller, J. Strain engineering for transition metal dichalcogenides based field effect transistors. *ACS Nano* **10**, 4712–4718 (2016).
216. Tsai, M.-Y. *et al.* Flexible MoS₂ field-effect transistors for gate-tunable piezoresistive strain sensors. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **7**, 12850–12855 (2015).
217. Pu, J. *et al.* Highly flexible and high-performance complementary inverters of large-area transition metal dichalcogenide monolayers. *Adv. Mater.* **28**, 4111–4119 (2016).
218. Feng, J. *et al.* Identification of single nucleotides in MoS₂ nanopores. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **10**, 1070–1076 (2015).
219. Feng, J. *et al.* Electrochemical reaction in single layer MoS₂: nanopores opened atom by atom. *Nano Lett.* **15**, 3431–3438 (2015).
220. Schmidt, H. *et al.* Transport properties of monolayer MoS₂ grown by chemical vapor deposition. *Nano Lett.* **14**, 1909–1913 (2014).

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the European Research Council (Grant Nos. 682332 and 306504), Swiss National Science Foundation (Grant No. 153298), funding from the Single Nanometre Manufacturing project under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme FP7/2007-2013 (Grant Agreement No. 318804), Marie Curie ITN network 'MoWSeS' (Grant No. 317451). We acknowledge funding by the European Commission under the Graphene Flagship (Grant Agreement No. 604391).

Competing interests statement

The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

How to cite this article

Manzeli, S., Ovchinnikov, D., Pasquier, D., Yazyev, O. V. & Kis, A. 2D transition metal dichalcogenides. *Nat. Rev. Mater.* **2**, 17033 (2017).